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## Sorting on GPUs

Some not-so-good sorting approaches
Bitonic sort
QuickSort
Concurrent kernels and recursion

# Adapt algorithms to parallel execution 

Many sorting algorithms are highly sequential
Suitable for parallel implementation?

- Data driven execution
- Data independent execution
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## Data driven execution

Computing pattern depends on data
Usually harder to parallellize!
Example: QuickSort.
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## Data independent execution

Known computing pattern
Easier to parallellize - always the same plan
Example: Bitonic sort
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## Bubble sort

Loop through data, compare neighbors

## Extremely sequential

Inefficient
Parallel version: Bubble sort with odd-even transposition method

Compare all items pairwise
Two phases, "odd phase" and "even phase" (shifted one step)
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## Bubble sort, parallel version

Bubble sort with odd-even transposition method
Compare all items pairwise
Two phases, "odd phase" and "even phase" (shifted one step)
Fully sorted after $n$ phases
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## Suitable for GPU?

Not as bad as it seems at first look:

- Data independent
- Excellent locality
- Appears to have possibilities to use shared memory but with some costly transfers at edges between blocks.
- But certainly not optimal at very large sizes

Perfect for sorting many small sets but not one large!
"Better" algorithms don't necessary beat this all that easily!
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## Rank sort

Count number of items that are smaller
Values must be unique!
Easy to parallelize:

- One thread per item
- Loop through entire data
- Store in index decided from count of number of smaller items.
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## Suitable for GPU?

Again, not as bad as it seems at first look:

- Data independent
- Excellent locality - especially good for broadcasting (e.g. constant memory). Also suitable for shared memory.
- Again, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ : Will grow at very large sizes

Two bad ones that are not quite as bad as they seem.
N parallel iterations may beat Nlog N sequential ones!
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## Rank sort optimization

Everybody want to know what rank they have.
They all need to compare to everything.
For each block of N threads
Split memory in chunks of N
Read chunk shared, one per thread
Synchronize
Read through chunk in shared
Writing result is conflict free
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## Bitonic merge sort

Bitonic set: Two monotonic parts in different direction.
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## Bitonic merge sort

(According to Batcher:) Let a be a bitonic set with a maximum at k , consisting of two monotonic parts, one increasing, $a^{-}$(from item 1 to
$k$ ) and one decreasing, $a^{+}(k+1$ to $n)$
Then two new sets can be constructed as

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{\prime}=\min \left(a_{1}, a_{k+1}\right), \min \left(a_{2}, a_{k+2}\right) \ldots \\
a^{\prime \prime}=\max \left(a_{1}, a_{k+1}\right), \max \left(a_{2}, a_{k+2}\right) \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

These two sets are also bitonic and $\max \left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq \min \left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ !


# Bitonic sort by divide-and-conquer 

Bitonic sort works on a bitonic sequence: partially sorted

The parts must be sorted. Sort them by bitonic sort!

Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH

## Bitonic sort example
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## Bigger example

The problem scales nicely, uniformly
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## Get those steps right

Step length

Step direction

Comparison direction
Calculated from stage number and stage length

# Code examples 

Sequential:
Recursive example
Iterative example

## Parallel:

CUDA example (not optimized)
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## Bitonic sort features

- Data independent, no worst case
- Fast: O(n•log2n) (Why?)
- Good locality in some parts
but
- Big leaps in addressing for some parts


## Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH

## What about those big leaps?

Small leaps: Can be computed within one block. Shared memory friendly.

Big leaps (>number of threads/block): No synchronization possible between blocks!

But we must synchronize!
-> multiple kernel runs!
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## QuickSort

Very popular algorithm for sequential implementation


Data driven, data dependent reorganization, non-uniform
Fancy name - nobody expect QuickSort to be nothing but optimal
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## QuickSort is

Fast: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \cdot \operatorname{logn})$ in typical cases
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ in the worst case
Data driven, data dependent reorganization, non-uniform
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## QuickSort on GPU

Initially ignored as impractical
CUDA implementations exist
Data driven approaches increasingly suitable as GPUs become more flexible
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## Parallel QuickSort

Several stages to consider:

- Pivot selection. Usually just grab one.
- Comparisons
- Partitioning
- Concatenate result
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## Pivot selection

If we could always pick a pivot that splits the data in half...
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## but you can't do that without sorting! (Or a histogram.) But how about a random one?



There is a worst case caused by bad pivots. Live with it!
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## Comparisons

Easy to parallelize
One thread per comparison not unreasonable! (GPUs don't have a problem with many threads!)

No problem!
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## Partitioning

The big problem!
Sequential partitioning: Bad!
Parallel partitioning 1: Atomic fetch \& increment. (GPUs have atomics!)

Parallel partitioning 2: Divide and conquer
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## In-place sorting not feasible

Split to two list of same size as original. Massive number of threads!

Then we must pack to smaller size.
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## Packing to smaller size not trivial

Data dependent
Use parallel prefix sum to create a look-up table for addressing.
Computes sum of all previous items.
Takes $\log \mathrm{N}$ steps to perform.
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## Parallel prefix sum

Similar to reduction but full output.
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## Parallel prefix sum

Example



## For sorting: Binary parallel prefix sum
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## Parallel prefix sum on GPU

- No reason to use few threads. Use as many as you have output items.
- Multiple kernel runs to adapt to problem size variation.
- As described above, non-coalesced. Pack intermediate values for coalescing. If using shared memory, risk of bank conflicts. [Capannini]
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## Thus, QuickSort is not impossible, but more complex than before.

Note:
GPUs have Compare-And-Swap atomics!
GPUs favor massive numbers of threads. One thread per comparison is more than OK!

Implementations available. Example:
https://sourceforge.net/projects/cuda-quicksort/
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## Recursion

GPUs can't do recursion efficiently... or can they?
Since Kepler we have concurrent kernels
Not only a matter of launching kernels from CPU!
A kernel can spawn new kernels!
Do recursion by spawning new kernels!
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## Concurrent kernels, Dynamic Parallelism

Less work for the CPU to manage the computation.
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## Recursion can look like this:

```
_global__ void quicksort(int *data, int left, int right)
{
    int nleft, nright;
    cudaStream_t s1, s2;
    // Partitions data based on pivot of first element.
    // Returns counts in nleft & nright
    partition(data+left, data+right, data[left], nleft, nright);
    // If a sub-array needs sorting, launch a new grid for it.
    // Note use of streams to get concurrency between sub-sorts
    if(left < nright) {
        cudaStreamCreateWithFlags(&s1, cudaStreamNonBlocking);
        quicksort<<< ..., sl >>>(data, left, nright);
    }
    if(nleft < right) {
        cudaStreamCreateWithFlags(&s2, cudaStreamNonBlocking);
        quicksort<<< ..., s2 >>>(data, nleft, right);
    }
}
    host__ void launch_quicksort(int *data, int count)
{
    quicksort<<<< ... >>>(data, 0, count-1);
}
```

Source: http://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2012/09/12/how-tesla-k20-speeds-up-quicksort-a-familiar-comp-sci-code/
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## Advantages

- Less work for CPU
- Less synchronizing (from CPU side)
- Easier programming!

They claim it matters this much (but your milage will vary)
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## Recursive CUDA kernels, a significant improvement, powerful option
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## Many other sorting algorithms exist... like this one this year:

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## ScienceDirect

Procedia Computer Science 218 (2023) 1682-1691

International Conference on Machine Learning and Data Engineering
New GPU Sorting Algorithm Using Sorted Matrix
Sumit Kumar Gupta ${ }^{\text {a,* }, ~ D r . ~ D h i r e n d r a ~ P r a t a p ~ S i n g h ~}{ }^{\text {a }}$, Dr. Jaytrilok Choudhary ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{a}$ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

# Other non-trivial algorithms 

FFT, Fast Fourier Transform

Distance transform
Fractal Brownian Motion
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## Fast Fourier Transform

Based on a sequence of "butterflies"
Similarily to Bitonic sort, can be computed several stage in one run for the "smaller" stages
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## Distance transform

Fast and simple version by Danielsson 1980: "Jump flooding"
Makes "jumps" of various length


Every "jump" needs to be one kernel run!
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## Fractal Brownian Motion

Used for e.g. realistic looking procedural terrains
Among other methods:

- Diamond-square
- Multi-pass Perlin noise
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## Diamond-square algorithm

1) Midpoint from corners
2) Edge from corners and midpoints


Repeat to desired resolution
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## Multi-pass Perlin noise

Theoretically slower than Diamond-square BUT
can be computed by independent threads! One kernel run!


Single octave
FBM needs $\log N$ passes of
different frequency
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## Conclusion

Algorithms with dependency in computed data often need multiple kernel runs.

This is an extra cost!
Does it pay when the computational complexity is lower?
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## That's all folks!

